

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. 4519RC2R2 09/853,227 05/11/2001 Douglas Allan Royce 27752 07/16/2003 THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY **EXAMINER** INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WEBMAN, EDWARD J WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161 6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER CINCINNATI, OH 45224

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.			
	01/89966/	L	ROYCE	
	Examiner W&B	MAN	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence ad	dress
Pridfor Reply	2			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimpire SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) n the mailing dat	days will be considered	d timely. n .
Status ,	/			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on/2	-2/03			•
☐ This action is FINAL .	•			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 0 	r formal matters, pros e C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to	the merits is clos	ed in
Disp sition of Claims	_			
\mathbb{Z} Claim(s)	7	is/are p	pending in the appli	cation.
$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & & & & & & & & \\ \hline & & & & & &$	28-57	is/are \	withdrawn from con	sideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are a	allowed.	
Claim(s) 1-12,14-16	18-27	is/are i	rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)			objected to.	
□ Claim(s)			bject to restriction o	r election
Application Papers		require	ement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved [☐ disapprove	d.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	I to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		•		
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the Internal 	priority documents ha	ive been	·	
*Certified copies not received:	-			
Attachment(s)			•	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	5 ni	iterview Sumn	nary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892			nal Patent Application	on, PTO-15
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948				
•	cti n Summary	/U 101		

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,227

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, cationic cellulose and polydimethylsiloxane in Paper No. 7, filed 4/25/03, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no burden is shown for the groups or species. This is not found persuasive because Bhroen for the groups is shown by their classification in entirely separate classes. As to the species, no burden need be shown. Applicants can overcome the election of species requirement by stating on the record that the species are equivalent. However, a rejection over one species shall then apply to all.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-12, 14-16, 18-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Guskey (US 5977036).

Guskey teaches 5-50% anionic surfactant, 0.025-3% cationic polymer with a charge density of 0.2-7 meq/gm and a molecular weight of 5k to 10 million, 0.1-10% water insoluble hair styling polymer and water (abstract). Polyquaternium 10 is disclosed (column 8 lines 3-4).

Polydemethylsiloxane is specified (column 22 lines 17-18). Ethylene elycol distearate are disclosed (column 26 line 360.

Application/Control Number: 09/853,227

Art Unit: 1617

Antidandruff agents are specified (column 17 line 38) as to the claimed property of coacervate formation is specified, such a property must be possessed by the anticipatory composition because it is the same as that claimed.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guskey.

Gusky et al is discussed above.

The example takes notice under MPEP 2144.03 that pyriding doing salts are well-known in the art as anti-dandruff agents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to add pyridine doing salts to the composition of Guskie because such salts are well-known as anti-dandruff agents.

Claims 10-12, 15, 16, 22-24, 25, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 10, 11, 15, 16, 22-23 "insoluble" is vague; in what solvent? Water?

In claim 27 anti-dandruff has no antecedent in claim 21. In claim 14, "silicone" has no antecedent in claim 12.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in nonelected claim 13 "silicone" has no antecedent in claim 12.

Appropriate correction is required.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Claim 7 contains the trademark/trade names Polyguaternium-10. Polymer LR-30m. Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods

associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade

name is used to identify/describe cationic cellulose Polymers and, accordingly, the

No claims allowed.

identification/description is indefinite.

The Examiner requests a product bullern describing the LR and JR series of polymers manufactured by Amerchol.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Padmanabhan can be reached on 305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 305-3592 for regular communications and 305-1235 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1234.

Webman/tgd July 3, 2003

THE MODILY TO THE SAME TO THE